

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 14TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 23RD BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25375 of 2007(U)

PETITIONER:

HARRYSH BAL, S/O.BALAKRISHNAN,
AGED 40 YEARS, GANESH KRIPA,
KOONAMMAVU, N.PARAVUR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.K.FAISAL

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO THE HOME DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ERNAKULAM
RURAL, OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
ALUVA.
4. R.K.KRISHNAKUMAR,
CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NORTH PARAVUR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.
5. SURESH, S/O.SANKARAN, AGED 36 YEARS,
SANKARADITHARAYIL, THEKKENALUVAZHI,
N.PARAVUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.A.SALIM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 14/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

tga

WP(C) NO:25375/2007 U

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE 5TH PAGE OF THE PUNYABHUMI DAILY DT. 7/5/07.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE 3RD PAGE OF THE PUNYABHUMI DATED 21/5/07.

EXT.P3. COPY OF THE REPORT BY THE R4 BEFORE THE JFCM COURT, N. PRARUR DT. 15/6/07.

EXT.P4. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE R2.

EXT.P5. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE R5.

EXT.P6. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT BY THE SAID DHANYA BEFORE THE R4 DT. 20/3/07.

EXT.P7. COPY OF THE F1 STATEMENT GIVEN BY THE SAID DHANYA IN CR. NO. 260/07.

EXT.P8. COPY OF THE FIR IN CR. NO. 767/079.

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE R4A. COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

ANNEXURE R4B. COPY OF THE RESOLUTION DT. 9/1/2007.

True copy

tga

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

Writ Petition (C) No.25375 of 2007-U

Judgment

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court, alleging that the 4th respondent Circle Inspector of Police, North Paravur, is harassing him. He is a journalist by profession. According to him, the said Circle Inspector is ill-disposed against him for exposing the illegal actions of the said Officer in the investigation of a crime registered against the children of one Mr.Radhakrishnan, Santhimadam.

2. The Circle Inspector of Police, the 4th respondent herein, has filed a statement, stating that no crime has been registered against the petitioner. The allegation of harassment is emphatically denied by him. It is also alleged that the petitioner is trying to shield the above said Mr.Radhakrishnan and with a view to restrain the Circle Inspector from investigating into the crime registered against the said person's children, false allegations are made against him. He submits, he is a very efficient and honest Police Officer as evident from Ext.R4 (b). The petitioner has filed a reply affidavit, dealing with the allegations in the counter affidavit.

3. The submissions of the CI of Police that he is not harassing the petitioner and that he has no intention to harass him, are recorded. If the presence of the petitioner is required in connection with the investigation of any crime, notice in accordance with law shall be served on him. The Writ Petition is disposed of, recording the above submissions made by the Circle Inspector of Police and with the above direction.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE

14.09.2007

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE

sta